



Survey on Geodata Requirements

Preliminary Results

Susanne Rahner & Sören Klünder









In the course of the Survey 147 questionnaires were sent out, 28 of which were completed and returned. 19% Reply rate






- Question 1:Do you have experience in using geoinformation regarding
Habitat Directive (Natura-2000)?
- Question 3: In how many of your projects (in %) do you use geoinformation services (methods, tools, data) regarding Habitat Directive (Natura-2000)?
- Question 8:Which experiences have you gained with user rights regarding
Habitat Directive (Natura-2000)?
- Question 9: Which experiences have you gained with availability of geodata regarding Habitat Directive (Natura-2000)?
- Question 12: In which data formats do you have geoinformation regarding Habitat Directive (Natura-2000)?
- Question 13: Which medium do you use to send information?
- Question 15:Which experiences do you have with data quality regarding of
Habitat Directive?






- Question 16:What sort of main data deficiency do you encounter
(if you encounter deficiencies) regarding Habitat Directive
(Natura-2000)
- Question 17:Which experiences do you have with compatibility and differentData Formats regarding Habitat Directive (Natura-2000)?
- Question 19:Which data bases do you use (i.e. MS Access, dBase, MySQL,
dBase, Oracle etc.) regarding Habitat Directive (Natura-2000)?
- Question 22: How up to date are your data regarding Habitat Directive (Natura-2000)?
- Question 24: Which priorities (1-6, 1=very important, 6=least important) would you like to have in connection to geodata regarding Habitat Directive (Natura-2000)? I.e. availability, up-to-dateness, compatible formats, Twitter, Facebook, Xing







Question 1:Do you have experience in using geoinformation regarding
Habitat Directive (Natura-2000)?









Question 3: In how many of your projects (in %) do you use geoinformation services (methods, tools, data) regarding Habitat Directive (Natura-2000)?









Question 8: Which experiences have you gained with user rights regarding Habitat Directive (Natura-2000)?









Question 9: Which experiences have you gained with availability of geodata regarding Habitat Directive (Natura-2000)?








Question 12: In which data formats do you have geoinformation regarding Habitat Directive (Natura-2000)?









Question 13: Which medium do you use to send information?

Question 13: Information distribution








Question 15: Which experiences do you have with data quality regarding of Habitat Directive?








Question 16: What sort of main data deficiency do you encounter (if you encounter deficiencies) regarding Habitat Directive (Natura-2000)


Most often mentioned:

- Up-to-dateness
- inaccuracy in data
- lack of uniformity and compatibility in data
- missing or insufficient metadata
- usage of different reference systems
- scale problems
- etc.







Question 17: Which experiences do you have with compatibility and different Data Formats regarding Habitat Directive (Natura-2000)?







Question 19: Which data bases do you use (i.e. MS Access, dBase, MySQL, dBase, Oracle etc.) regarding Habitat Directive (Natura-2000)?




This project was co-funded by the 7th EU Framework Programme for Research – Marie Curie IAPP

D. Robow, York/Wills


VITUKI

YOODRASILDev


MARIE CURIE




Question 22: How up to date are your data regarding Habitat Directive (Natura-2000)?









Question 24: Which priorities (1-6, 1=very important, 6=least important) would you like to have in connection to geodata regarding Habitat Directive (Natura-2000)? I.e. availability, up-to-dateness, compatible formats, Twitter, Facebook, Xing








Interest in EU-projects







Results:

- Some questions should have been asked stricter
- Very hard to enforce exact answers
- Some answers with statistical relevance
- Some answers yet to be interpreted

Questions:

- Shall we continue and enlarge the questionnaire audience?
- Is this result a value for the field and Data experts?
- Can we derive strategic and technical conclusions from that?

Maybe we should have a discussion with the consortium partners in a workshop or in some face to face meeting?

Thank you for your attention!

